



REQUEST FOR TENDERS No. 26-008

Extension Community Park Multi-Sport Court Construction

Addendum 1

Issued: February 6, 2026

Closing Date & Time: on or before 3:00 PM Pacific Time on February 19, 2026

This addendum shall be read in conjunction with and considered as an integral part of the Request for Tender. Revisions supersede the information contained in the original Tender or previously issued Addendum. No consideration will be allowed for any extras due to any Vendor not being familiar with the contents of this Addendum. All other terms and conditions remain the same.

Mobilization/Demolition

Q1: Is there an option to leave excavated material on site?

A1: Yes, clean, excavated material can remain on site and can be stockpiled for future use. Exact location for stockpiles to be determined on site. Stripped surface material, including sod and existing asphalt to be removed from site.

Q2: Are water and power available to the contractor on site?

A2: Power is not available during construction. Contractor is responsible for planning for all power needs.

Water is available from the fire hydrant located in the park. Contact information and access instructions will be provided to the successful proponent prior to construction. The hydrant will remain available for establishment watering.

Q3: Can the fence along Ryder Street be removed during construction?

A3: The existing fence between the existing driveway and the new pathway connection to Ryder Street is to be removed. The contractor is not responsible for reinstating the fence after construction.



Q4: Regarding the 38mm water service to be removed on drawing C02: would you have any additional information on the type of service pipe installed there? Is this PE?

A4: As-built drawings indicating pipe material are not available for this location.

Landscape

Q5: Can pressure treated 2 x 6 lumber be substituted for the bender boards?

A5: Pressure treated 2x6 lumber or yellow cedar is an approved equivalent to the bender board edging specified in Detail 1-L4.0.

Q6: Can sod be substituted for the seeded area along the path parallel to Ryder Street?

A6: The planting bed along the path has been modified to extend to the path. Please refer to L3.0 – Issued for Addenda.

Q7: Are contractors responsible for renovation of the entire turfed area?

A7: Contractors are responsible for site renovation in all areas disturbed during the project (See note on L3.0 – Issued for Addenda).

Q 8: Are the following basketball hoops approved equals?

Dominator 72" Aluminum Shatter-Proof Backboard FIXED Height – BLK
Victoria PlayCo Gooseneck Fan System w UFM3 Ultimate Dbl-Rim Goal

A8: The Dominator 72" aluminum backboard is not an approved equivalent to the specified Regulation Basketball Hoop by Sportsystems Canada (Detail 6-L7.0) as the Dominator is aluminum and includes a 4' horizontal extension, not steel and a 6' extension as per the specified Sportsystems Canada model.

The Victoria PlayCo Gooseneck Fan System is an approved equivalent to the Youth Basketball Hoop by Sportsystems Canada (Detail 7-L4.0) under the following conditions:

- Post to be trimmed to 'youth size' noted on drawings (is currently too tall)
- Drawings note pole to be powder coated black



Civil

Q9: Can the asphalt spec of 12mm be substituted with a mix that is 99% passing at 12.5mm?

A9: Yes, the proposed mix design (attached) is approved.

Q10: In regard to the existing culvert and the 150mm PVC CB lead draining to that same ditch line, the instructions call for a precast concrete headwall for the inlet end of the existing culvert. The outlet of the 150mm CB lead is to have a sandbag headwall. Can they all be sandbags?

A10: Yes, sandbag headwalls are to be provided at the inlet & outlet ends of the existing and proposed culverts along Ryder Street.

Q11: Is Laykold Textured line paint an acceptable substitution for the line painting? Is there to be additional surfacing on the asphalt or just line painting?

A11: Laykold Textured line paint is an approved equivalent to the StreetBond 120 by Hub Surfacing System (See attached specification). No additional surfacing is required

Q12: Can we install the basketball posts after installing the asphalt?

A12: Yes, the basketball hoop posts can be installed after completing the installation of the asphalt. Please ensure a clean saw cut of the asphalt to allow for the installation of the poles.

Q13: One of our concrete subs is questioning the "light sand blast" finish, noted on the drawings for both the retaining wall and the concrete pads below the site furnishings. Would you accept a smooth architectural sacked finish on the wall? Would you accept a light broom finish on the concrete pads?

A13: A smooth architectural sacked finish on the wall and light broom finish on the concrete pads is acceptable. Ensure the sack finish fills in voids to create a smooth, uniform playing surface on the walls.

Structural

Q14: Can the East wall be constructed at the end so that asphalt equipment can have better access?

A14: Please refer to Structural Addendum 1 S101.

Q15: On drawing C03 of Section A-A, the note refers to the structural drawing for the toe Drain details. The structural drawing refers to the Architectural drawings, which we don't have. Could you provide



some details for the Toe Drain? Will this be 100mm perf pipe or 150mm diameter? Is it wrapped in filter cloth?

A15: The perimeter (footing) drain is only required along the western side of the court and short portions of the north/south sides where the backfill is elevated. A 100mm diameter drainpipe is acceptable. The pipe should be perforated PVC. A filter fabric (non-woven geotextile) should also be included to prevent the migration of finer-grained sands/silts into the drainage system. The fabric should wrap or cover the drain rock placed overtop of the pipe.

Q16: On drawing S101, could you explain the "BW", "PG", "TW" references on the FOUNDATION PLAN? I'm guessing that "TW" is "Top of Wall" elevation, as its a constant 132.20m. It should be noted that Top of Wall elevations is noted 100mm higher on drawing C02, at 132.30m. Is "BW" for "Bottom of Wall" elevations? It would make sense, except for the BW132.05 number noted in the lower left area. The existing ground here is around 131.0m Could you confirm that 132.05 number? The "PG" elevations appear to match proposed finished grade elevations, inside and outside the court. Is this correct?

To clarify, If 132.05 is the Bottom of Wall location in that spot. Then the wall height is only 150mm there. The other two BW elevations are 131.28 and 131.58. Is BW actually the "bottom of wall" = "top of footing"? or is this the finished ground elevation at that point of the wall?

A16: BW, TW, and PG are all defined on the landscape grading sheet L2.0. The landscape grading plan shows the finished grades and slopes desired for the site. Top of wall to be consistent at 132.20m (per the landscape drawings). On the landscape grading plan, "BW" (Bottom of Wall) refers to the finished grade at the bottom of a portion of a wall, not the top of the footing elevation.

Geotechnical

Q17: Is there a soils report for the site? We need to determine a stripping depth and whether import fill will be required to obtain subgrade elevations below these proposed structures.

A17: Yes, a Geotechnical report is available as part of this addendum.

General

Q18: Will the RDN share the budget?

A18: No, the RDN will not be sharing the project budget.

End of Addendum 1